

subject to a fingerprinting operation than the poor guy who works on the back side and scrapes out a living. I would urge that the amendment be adopted which would strike the fingerprinting provision from the bill. It does not affect the specific intent of the bill that deals with the issue of the commission's ability for revocation of licenses but it does, I think, stop what could very likely become the first step toward fingerprinting across the board just because someone feels that these individuals might have the ability to subject others to some kind of illegal activity. Maybe had we had this a few years ago we could have fingerprinted everybody in the S & L industry but...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...that's not the case. That is water under the bridge, over the dam and it's money out of every taxpayer's pocket. That doesn't happen because that's white-collar folks out there and we don't address them in the same way as we do the working stiff on the back side of the track. Mr. President, I would move the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Hall. Discussion on the Hall amendment, Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I rise to oppose the Hall amendment and before I give my reasons, I would like to ask Senator Hall if I might, a question?

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Hall, would you respond, please?

SENATOR HALL: Yes.

SENATOR SMITH: Senator Hall, I have here...and I appreciate the fact that I did receive a copy of the amendments that you intended to offer this morning.

SENATOR HALL: And, Senator Smith, I apologize I...

SENATOR SMITH: I do have though, I did receive them.

SENATOR HALL: I would have had them printed and I just...I blew it.

SENATOR SMITH: Okay, but what I was going to ask you is, how