

that that no longer is a good way to handle cattle rustling. But remember your duty to, as lawmakers, to uphold our laws for all people, and as Senator Lindsay said, this would be just the beginning, and how can you deny others who are affected greatly by theft, who also want special consideration under the law? We have to be careful. We have to be purists when it comes to changing the law in a manner that separates and holds others differently, under a different standard. Please reconsider and do not vote for this amendment.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, before I say what I intend to say, I would like to ask Senator Schrock a question, Baron Schrock.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Schrock, do you yield?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would you answer a question, please?

SENATOR SCHROCK: At your mike.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, I want to be sure my terminology is correct. If you have one of these animals that we are talking about and it is a female, what is the difference between a cow and a heifer? Is it that once it has a calf, then it no longer is a heifer, is that correct?

SENATOR SCHROCK: That is correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And after it has a calf, then it becomes a cow?

SENATOR SCHROCK: That is correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you very much. That is all that I needed, but I wanted to be sure that I was correct. In England, they had the death penalty for any number of offenses, and stealing a cow was a capital offense in England, so they could prove that a person had stolen this four-footed animal that gave milk. But when they presented the case to the jury, the jury felt that the punishment was too severe. So they would arrive at the conclusion that, rather than being a cow, it was a heifer. And I wanted to be sure of the distinction. They would say despite what the evidence presented, and the evidence