

should have special treatment in this case, I've said yes. It clearly is a...something that could lead, and which I would support, very much so, and I would think even some of the rural senators would want to support that, because what would happen is that this, probably more than anything, would provide economic development for rural areas that didn't have a sales tax, because there would be an incentive, especially on the big ticket items that are talked about, both by Senator Schmit and Senator Warner, in the form of automobiles and farm equipment. If there was an advantage, with regard to the point of sale, they might locate in some of those rural areas that don't have a city sales tax, because they would have a tremendous advantage over a dealer who happened to be in a local city tax entity. And when you're talking about items that are 50 and 100 thousand dollars, and cars 15 to 30, you're talking about a considerable amount of money with a penny and a half city sales tax. I would argue that the special class is the class that currently is out there that wouldn't mirror what Senator Lowell Johnson tries to do in LB 100. I would also just finally like to say it would be nice to find out about a veto override prior to nine o'clock this morning. That's no reflection on Senator Lynch. I guess I'd prefer to receive the veto message a little earlier. But that's neither here, nor there. I was probably out of town. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: I was just going to continue in response to Senator Schmit's question. I think what we're dealing with here is a precedent that may well be established. It's hard to argue that you wouldn't collect sales tax at all always at point of sale, as opposed to point of delivery. And notwithstanding the logic of this particular bill, which I have no quarrel with, it's totally logical, but the precedent and the possibility of a classification system that's going to create problems has changed my mind. Certainly those areas I'm thinking of, talk about farm equipment, I'm thinking about all the complaints we get, and concern we get from implement dealers all around the border of the State of Nebraska that they can't sell out of state, because of city sales tax, correction, because of state sales tax on farm equipment and adjoining states do not have it. Then if you have a city sales tax on top, as some do, you add another 1 percent, or 1.5, whatever the local rate is, because of point of sale, as opposed to point of delivery, those sales are even going to deteriorate more. And I think it's well that