

case, ideally I think, these maybe ought to be discussed off the floor, some time between General File and Select. Senator Chambers has raised some questions that I think are good ones that do need to be addressed. Nothing, save the general overall structure of this, none of the specifics are etched in stone. And I'm sure Senator Conway and others would be happy to deal with those. Senator Conway, if you have any reaction to what I've said, feel free to use the rest of my time.

SENATOR CONWAY: Thank you, Senator Withem. The question of removal and so forth, and again, we lifted that language from accountability and disclosure situations, and so any fine-tuning of that, hopefully, maybe when it comes to a commission, if we make some of this fine-tuning, and make some of this language clearer, hopefully, maybe this selection process will be a better model that people will turn to instead of looking at some of the other old language that is out there. The thing that I think that is important is not our intent at this point in time to address election law, per se. We're not intending and attempting to change anything we're doing right now via this bill. What we're trying to do is develop a structure that will create for better efficiency, a better system by which the counties can turn to a specific group, that group can promulgate rules and regulations to iron out election law. We were inundated with ideas in the committee this year, trying to fix this little piece and that little piece, and the more we talked about it and discussed it, the more we realized the one of the things that we're really missing was that central body that could ultimately promulgate rules and regulations and the like to address these. And our hope was to put this on board again, like I say, with the E clause and getting them up quick. Letting them come back to the body, after that group is charged with looking at the codification study, looking at some of the problems that came out of some of these other elections, in terms of the process, and substantive procedural activities associated with election law, bring that back to this body and discuss those issues at that point in time with what statutory changes are necessary for them to better carry out that function. So the intent of this particular and some of the language that we're getting tangled up in and thinking about some of the ills of last spring and summer and fall, for that matter, are things that wasn't our intent to fix in this bill. This bill is simply for a structural body to work, and then we take our issues to them and see if they can't come up with a good, clean process. And, again, a lot of what we saw was this