

March 25, 1991      LB 748

3 minutes 54 seconds. So the change is merely change 10 to 3 on line 11, on page 3 of the green copy. And it is much less a change than Highway 12 to 14. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Is there discussion about the amendment of Senator Elmer? Senator Dierks. No. Senator Morrissey, on the amendment? No. Senator Elmer, do you wish to close? We will vote on the amendment as offered by Senator Elmer. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? We're voting on the amendment by Senator Elmer. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: The amendment is adopted. We will now go to the bill as...Senator Elmer, do you wish to open on the bill now, as amended?

SENATOR ELMER: Yes, Mr. Speaker. This bill is a very simple type of a thing that has to be done and fulfills the statutory requirement wherein the Department of Environmental Control is not to approve an application for a license to receive radioactive waste unless the land is owned by the state or the federal government. LB 748, with the committee amendments, clearly provides that the Legislature will accept the real estate described in this bill only if the application for a license to receive radioactive waste meets all the other requirements necessary for licensure. In other words, since the...since the law and the federal government require that these type of facilities be on public land, LB 748 is necessary before the application for the license can be processed. But the land would not actually be acquired unless every other requirement for licensure is met. It's necessary this year to provide as timely a procedure in the progress in good faith with the rest of the people involved in this activity. And I would respectfully ask your support in advancing LB 748 to Select File

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Elmer. On the bill now, Senator Dierks.

SENATOR DIERKS: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, I am standing here to oppose this legislation today. I guess that I can't see the necessary for...the necessity for the urgency of