

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then if the purpose is to establish that you can accept these, why is all this other stuff being put into the bill?

SENATOR WITHEM: Because there are people outside the body who do not read very well.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't even understand what that means. Is all this other stuff...well, like what Senator Beutler is talking about, does that establish that you can accept an honorarium? Is that what Senator Beutler...

SENATOR WITHEM: What Senator Beutler's amendment does it leaves in the provision that you can accept honoraria. The current draft of the bill takes out a provision where it says that the service...that the person receiving an honoraria must, in fact, provide a service in exchange for that. What I believe Senator Beutler's intent is with his amendment is to put that back into the statute so that there is a requirement that if you receive an honoraria for some group that you have, in fact, provided a service of the value which you are...you're being paid, that the service you have rendered is, in fact, one of which you are being paid.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now I would like to ask Senator Beutler a question.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Beutler, will you yield?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Beutler, in lines 19, 21...19, 20, 21, and then number 1 on the following page...

SENATOR BEUTLER: What page are you on, Senator Chambers?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Your amendment, at the bottom of it. I will read the language. "An honorarium may be provided to a public official if it bears a reasonable relationship to the value of the services rendered by the official receiving the honorarium.". What does that mean?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, Senator Chambers, establishing the value of a service, as you know, involves a lot of different factors and I don't know that you can begin to discuss them in a general