

SENATOR WESELY: Yeah, but the section deals with what you need...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR WESELY: ...to report. So I'm saying you only need to report if it's over a \$100. It's a new provision.

SENATOR BEUTLER: You only need to report if it's over a \$100. Okay. Does...let's say, for example, you received a \$100 check one week and the following week you received another \$100 check from the same group, would you then be required to report those or not?

SENATOR WESELY: If it was...if it was a...if it was for the same speech, no, obviously, that would be circumvention of the law. The idea would be that if you gave a speech and received, let's say, \$75 or \$25, you wouldn't have to report it, but if you gave a speech and you got a \$150 or \$200, you would have to report it even if you got it in two...two payments.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So you could receive an unlimited amount of honorarium under this so long as the services that you rendered were less than \$100 a shot?

SENATOR WESELY: Well,...

SENATOR BEUTLER: From the same people.

SENATOR WESELY: ...the concept would have to tie back into...you know, if you gave an unlimited number of speeches, I guess, it would have to be tied into the performance of the speech.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, honorarium doesn't have to be a speech either, does it? I mean, if you go and participate in a discussion with a group on legislative matters, I suppose you could get an honorarium for that also.

SENATOR WESELY: Right. Uh-huh.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Thank you.

SENATOR WARNER: Is there any further discussion? Seeing none,