

gave to me about a half an hour about more and less and it didn't work very well for me and it sure didn't work when you were trying to explain it to me either. So I thought I would try it out on you anyway.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. That's about as reasonable as anything that you have said. But I'm glad that some of the other members brought up some of the issues that are going to have to be considered. And I will be frank, there are matters that I do consider far more important and pressing than this court bill. I have never hidden the fact that I'm opposed to the creation of this system, that I would oppose it in every way that I could within reason, which I have attempted to do. But I look at some of the matters that are going to have to go begging because of the dearth of money. And I don't think that this court bill should be allowed to take from the available money as much as it's going to take. That issue has been brought to the body and I think a wise thing to do right at this point would be to vote no. If you don't vote now, no, then you should not let it move beyond Select. It's one thing to let the juggernaut begin to run. Senator Schimek said she has not been lobbied. She should have added, yet. You let good judgment enter this Chamber and people begin to put passage of this bill and how much it costs into the context of other bills that are going to be scrambling for money which is not there and suddenly you all are going to be lobbied. You're going to be told how important this bill is and how the very republic may come tumbling down if this bill doesn't pass this session. They, and when I say they, I'm talking about judges, lawyers and others in the legal profession who want this bill, are so confident in their arrogance that this bill is going to pass that they don't have to be standing in the lobby with their noses pressed against the window pane. They have other ways to make people aware of how they feel. You just let them think that there is going to be some delay in the passage of this bill and you will see, hear and feel the lobbying and you will know precisely who "they" are who want this bill. If you're not going to allow this chunk of money to be taken from the budget, and from other programs that bear directly on the interests and welfare of people who are entitled to assistance from government, if you're not willing to let this bill take that money, we ought to put the no vote up this morning and serve notice. Then let Senator Kristensen get with those people who are pushing so hard for this bill and set an operative date, sometime in 1994 probably at the earliest. But I hope that we vote no on the advancement of this bill this