

and try to achieve that which I think is achievable. This amendment is not unreasonable, it will not increase the workload of the Supreme Court to such an extent as to take from them the leisure time they are to be granted by the creation of an appellate court. I hope that you will adopt this amendment. And, if you have any questions, I'm prepared to answer them.

SPEAKER BAACK: Are there questions? Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body. Senator Chambers, I'll take you up on that. Could I ask you a few questions, please.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Chambers, would you respond.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Senator Chambers, you spoke about any case that would have the possibility of life imprisonment as a possible penalty for the justification for the amendment, is that correct?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Now, if we look at a Class IC felony, the maximum sentence there is 50 years imprisonment, and I think mandatory minimum of 5. But it...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yeah.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Let's look at the maximum, the maximum...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Sure.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...is 50 years. If I was, in effect, 40 years of age and I got a 50 year maximum sentence, wouldn't I have the possibility of life imprisonment, I mean as a practical matter?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The practical impact could be that you would be there for the rest of your life. But that is not what the sentence declares.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: But...well, but I mean that is what it declares, because as a practical matter you are going to be