

my, I don't even know if it's worth two cents necessarily. But just wanted to add my remarks that I believe that government operates most effectively when the individual constituent knows who his or her representative will be and can make a direct contact to that individual. There's a lot greater accountability, and a lot more efficiency in government, so I'm going to be supporting Senator Chambers' bill. I'm going to be opposing Senator Lynch's amendment. I understand the mixes are nice, if we're looking for compromises, and oftentimes we do look for compromises in these approaches, in these sorts of bills. But I think the preferable approach would be to go directly to the situation that Senator Chambers is referring to. I have nothing further to add. Senator Chambers, I don't know if you had any additional comments to make. If you'd like to defend yourself against your characterization of the Chair, that would be appropriate also. So I grant my time to Senator Chambers.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Withem.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam Chair, is it true that I committed that dastardly deed and referred to you as Mr.?

PRESIDENT MOUL: I'm so inert to that anymore, I didn't even notice. (Laugh.)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm going to take Senator Withem's characterization as being correct, and I apologize. That should not have been said. But in my defense, Senator Labeledz attacked me and kind of got my mind going in a direction that it should not have gone. You said I wasn't charming. (Laughter.) But, at any rate, we're just about at the noon hour, and I think that a motion to adjourn is up there. So I won't take any additional time at this point, I'll just give it up. And I'm sincere about the apology, Madam Chair.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Chambers. I'll now recognize Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Madam Chair, I move to adjourn until 9:00 a.m., Monday morning.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Mr. Clerk, do you have some items first?