

SENATOR BEUTLER: But we know it's not a gift because we're dropping it out of that definition.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Right, honoraria, you make the initial determination, is it honoraria or not?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Is it honorarium or is it gift?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Okay, and what I'm saying to you is that if you have some...if you have some value using your definition then it falls under the honorarium situation.

SENATOR WARNER: Time.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: And if it's a total sham, then it is going to go to the (interruption).

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Kristensen, time is up. Next is Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. Chairman and members of the body, if I could ask Senator Kristensen a question and then if Senator Beutler wants more time, he can have some more. Senator Kristensen, when you were using an example with Senator Bernard-Stevens, he makes a speech at the University Club and the normal honorarium for the speech is \$50. Say, that the body, because he's doing what Senator Withem said, supporting the bill that, you know, is very important to a group and they up the fee to \$100 above the...they gave him a 150 and say Senator Withem was there speaking, too, and he got \$50, but Senator Bernard-Stevens got 150, would he have...only thing he would have to do is report that he got \$150 for that speech at this particular...?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes, that would be the requirement for full disclosure of the amount that was paid.

SENATOR HARTNETT: So it would be beyond...you couldn't count 50 of that as a gift and...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: I don't think so, no. I think that you...it is either honoraria or it's not.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Okay.