

March 5, 1991

LB 218, 311

include in that and if, in fact, it had to be in law. But the Supreme Court decision that was cited by Senator Lindsay provides in the court records what they are so we felt that it would be helpful in wage cases if those court definitions were included. And then it was the belief of the Business and Labor Committee that the rewording of the recovery of attorneys' fees was more fair than that language that's currently included in state law. So I would, again, encourage the advancement of 311. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Coordsen. Seeing no one else wishing to speak on the issue, do you have a closing? Senator Lindsay waives closing. We will proceed to vote on the motion to advance LB 311. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 1 nay, Madam President, on the advancement of LB 311.

PRESIDENT MOUL: LB 218.

CLERK: LB 218, you're right, excuse me, no...

PRESIDENT MOUL: I'm sorry, LB 311 advances.

CLERK: Right.

PRESIDENT MOUL: LB 218.

CLERK: Thank you. Madam President, 218 was a bill introduced by Senator Bernard-Stevens and Senator Schellpeper. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 14 of this year, referred to the Agriculture Committee for public hearing, advanced to General File. I do have committee amendments pending by the Agriculture Committee, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Rogers.

SENATOR ROGERS: Madam Speaker, these are printed, right, Pat? I don't have the page numbers. These amendments are...they're printed?

CLERK: Yes, sir, they're in your bill book and you will also find them...let me look real quick, Senator, for you. They're both in your bill book, Senator, and again you will find them on