

March 1, 1991

LB 192, 316

candidates in an election, it is clear to me that casting the vote for a particular person is an indication that I wish to see that person hold office. When I vote on the retention of a judge, it is clear to me that casting the vote yes or no is an indication of my desire to see that judge remain on the bench. But when I vote on a referendum measure it is not absolutely clear to me what my vote for or against stands for. If we pass LB 192, it will be abundantly clear to the voters with or without reading the summaries as to what effect their vote will have. I urge the body pass the bill.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Robak. Senator Elmer.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you, Madam President. Senator Robak, would you yield to a question?

SENATOR ROBAK: Yes.

SENATOR ELMER: Senator Robak, maybe I didn't hear it quite clearly, but does this apply only to the referendum process rather than the initiative?

SENATOR ROBAK: That's right, only to the referendum, that is to repeal a measure.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Elmer. Seeing no one else wishing to debate the issue, do you have a closing, Senator Robak?

SENATOR ROBAK: Waive.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Closing is waived. We will proceed to vote. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays on the advancement of the bill.

PRESIDENT MOUL: LB 192 is advanced. LB 316.

ASSISTANT CLERK: LB 316 was introduced by Senator Hartnett. (Read title.) The bill was read for the first time on January 16th, was referred to the Revenue Committee which