

February 27, 1991 LB 837

want to know the purpose of allowing them to adopt bylaws that would be, I guess it says relating to the availability that are consistent. Does that mean they have to exactly follow our law or can it be substantially close?

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I think we're back to a familiar question called substantial compliance I suppose.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: That's right, yeah.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Obviously, as you detect from...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time. Thank you, Senator Kristensen. I'll now recognize Senator Morrissey followed by Senator Dierks.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: I would call the question.

PRESIDENT MOUL: I only see two seconds. I see sufficient seconds. The question is, shall debate cease? All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays to cease debate, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Debate has ceased and we'll proceed with closing. Senator Johnson.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Madam President, I guess there has been a certain amount of confusion as to how this all meshes with our own state law and you have to understand we're amending not just Nebraska state law, but we're amending the compact which governs four other states as well, and so there are some ambiguities in this, or some unclear language in this bill that leave people to wonder how this is all going to work. And obviously we're working from the basis of good faith by the other states, and as I've said, we've seen the commitment by at least one state already to move their bill through, at least the Arkansas Senate. There are two basic structures for receiving compensation for the compact and the U.S. Ecology. One is the setting of rates which deals with volume. The other deals with the setting of fees and if you're on page 9 of the committee amendments, there are seven basic areas that are covered that will cover these fees and that will maybe help clarify some of that but to answer Senator Schmit's question, on page 9 you will