

February 27, 1991 LB 247

SENATOR ASHFORD: ...and Howard and Harney. It doesn't mean that they couldn't be torn down. What it means is that they could not be torn down for a private development. It would have to be some public purpose.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Which is what happened.

SENATOR ASHFORD: That's what happened in the ConAgra project.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Right.

SENATOR ASHFORD: But the city is in favor of this. They already have it in their ordinance, it's simply making it a matter of state law.

SENATOR PIRSCH: And your amendment again, just to be clear, would you read it.

SENATOR ASHFORD: Sure, if I can find it. Amendment says, "Within a landmark heritage preservation district a city of the metropolitan class shall not exercise its power of eminent domain to acquire property for the purpose of demolition and reconveyance for private use." What that means, they can't come in, for example, and take the Old Market passageway, tear it down, and build a hotel there. That's a private development. We've already made the...once...as long as it's determined to be a landmark, then it's going to be...then it would be preserved as a landmark. Doesn't mean that eminent domain could not be used to improve the street, doesn't mean that eminent domain couldn't be used to put in street lights.

SENATOR PIRSCH: There is a parking garage that is contemplated...

SENATOR ASHFORD: Right.

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...for the Old Market area.

SENATOR ASHFORD: Right.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Does this have any bearing on that?

SENATOR ASHFORD: I appreciate the question. The answer is, no. The rest of the amendment says that this "subsection shall not