

February 25, 1991 LB 67

We should not treat those the same. There should be some selectivity in there. And, if we can't, as a state, determine that selectivity through our Department of Environmental Control, then I say we should need to change our system. If there are only two people to do that, let's have some more people to do it, because that's what needs to be done. We should not just say we cannot afford to selectively decide which ones need to be closed, so we're going to close them all. That's what some people are saying on this floor. That should not happen. The amendment that I offered, plus Senator Beutler's amendment, I think are reasonable and should be passed.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Lamb. We'll now go to Senator Morrissey.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Question.

SPEAKER BAACK: That won't be necessary, you're the last light that is on. So we can, if there is no one else who wishes to speak, we can go to Senator Beutler for closing. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, the Lamb amendment did two things. First of all, it put an enormous burden on the Department of Environmental Control, and the second thing it did was limit the criteria that could be used by the Department of Environmental Control to a threat to water supplies. My amendment doesn't do anything with regard to the burden put on the department, but it does expand the criteria they can look at from just water supplies to any threat to people, direct or indirect, or to the water supply. And I would move the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: You've heard Senator Beutler's closing. We will now proceed to vote on the Beutler amendment. All those in favor of the amendment vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 22 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Beutler's amendment to the committee amendments.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Beutler's amendment is adopted. Do you have items for the record, Mr. Clerk?