

February 25, 1991 LB 67

and regs without studying it. I think Senator Lamb's amendment will make it too confusing. We need to send a message you need to do what's right, we'll be flexible enough to allow you to do what's right, and that's what I think the committee amendments will do. If you're concerned that there should be a date in the closure plan, which most closure plans should include a date because of capacity and volume, that's fine. We can work on that between now and Select File and get a date in there. But, again, what would you recommend that date be? And, if we put a date of '93, do you know that your folks in your district will be ready?

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Do you know enough about the situation in your district that you would be comfortable right now putting a date on these people and saying, yeah, they can live by that, yeah, that will allow them proper time to study this problem and get their landfills closed? If you're comfortable with that right now, and you're comfortable with the question of public versus private ownership and all the implications of importation on that question, then, fine, let's slap them with a date. But, if you're not comfortable that they're ready to go, ready to start rolling tomorrow, ready to throw their plan into effect tomorrow, then you better look at the committee amendments. And I think to keep the committee amendments and the purpose of the bill clear, we need to defeat Senator Lamb's amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Morrissey. I'll now recognize Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Question.

PRESIDENT MOUL: I have no other senators wishing to debate this issue. There is none. We'll go to Senator Lamb for closing.

SENATOR LAMB: Thank you, Madam Chair and members. Well, from that last statement from Senator Morrissey some people have thought that my amendment would do away with the committee amendment, and that's not true. I support the committee amendment. I think the committee amendment is an improvement over the bill that was introduced. And all I'm trying to do at this point is provide a little more flexibility in the committee amendment. I'm amending the committee amendment so that it puts