

these small towns are going to have to go to the expense of either building a landfill that they cannot afford, or do something else, which means in most cases hauling their landfill material maybe hundreds of miles, over a hundred miles. So all I'm asking here, it puts a little bit of a burden on the department to identify those landfills which are a threat, and to not put them under the same onus that we have those that are constituting a threat to our water supplies. I think that those small towns deserve that consideration. I would ask that the amendment be adopted.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Lamb. I'll now recognize senators wishing to address the Lamb amendment to the committee amendments. Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members, first of all I will support the Lamb amendment because, with the committee amendments, it's not going to make much difference one way or the other. Let me give you a little history. Many, many years ago, before most of you were born, there was a young man who was supposedly going to fly an aircraft from the east coast to the west coast. Turns out that he said he was incorrect in reading his compass, and instead flew to Europe. And for many years they called him "Wrong Way Corrigan". And he insisted, because he did not want to be penalized, that he had made an error. The facts are pretty well established that the individual knew that he was going to go to Europe and did so deliberately, because he didn't want to be penalized for disobeying federal officials. Senator Peterson had a bill last week, in Revenue Committee, started out to do one thing, and turned out to do something totally different. He and I are going to form what they call the "One Eighty Club", which means you do the reverse of what you started out to do. I really do not care if this body wishes to adopt the committee amendments, as amended by the Lamb amendment, but I believe that you should know what you are doing. It has been 20 years since this body adopted the Whitney amendment. I supported that because I felt, as Senator Whitney explained it, that many of those small communities were under undue pressure to do something about their solid waste sites, and that they ought to have some time to adjust. Now in the minds of some of you who are not even 20 years old, 20 years is quite a while. In the minds of some of us who are older, 20 years is not so long. But I think most of us being reasonable would have to conclude that if, in fact, there is a need for such legislation that 20 years is not a bad amount of time to