

February 25, 1991 LB 344

law.

SENATOR NELSON: I appreciate that, Senator Smith, and I'll be coming in a few weeks with a retirement bill just like this. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Nelson.

SENATOR SMITH: Don't expect me to support it, Senator Nelson.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Does anyone else want to speak to the committee amendments? Seeing none, do you have closing statements, Senator Smith?

SENATOR SMITH: No.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Closing is waived. We'll proceed to vote on adoption of the committee amendments to LB 344. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Madam President, on adoption of committee amendments.

PRESIDENT MOUL: The committee amendments are adopted. Now we will proceed with debate on the main motion, LB 344. Senator Smith.

CLERK: Do you want to offer your amendment now, Senator, or defer? Madam President, Senator Smith would move to amend her bill and that amendment is on page 718 of the Journal.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Madam President. This amendment was brought to me by the City of Omaha and it deals with the appeals process and basically cities of the primary class have their appeals provisions, Sections 15-1201 to 15-1205 exempt from the application of Sections 51-1,116 so there really isn't any reason for them not to exempt then the Section 14-813 which applies to the cities of the metropolitan class from the section also. It does nothing to change the appeals process. It just makes it become uniform and consistent with what we're doing with the other provisions for appeals. We have two appeals processes and what we're saying is that any appeal resulting