

out, this session, and lots of time before the operative date. I can't understand the anxiousness of the sponsors. When we accepted Senator Kristensen's amendment, I think there truly was a mistake in that we did not have a repealer. And I cannot imagine that we would keep the old slight gross standard language in our statutes and say that it is in order to do that when we do not do that for other, other bills. We need to eliminate the old language so it is clear perhaps to someone who has looked in one section but not another. I know of lawyers who do that, who don't catch the new language that would alter or nullify the old language. If we print those in the new statutes, or retain those in the old statute and print this, which nullifies it along with it, I don't think that's a good thing for us to do, no matter what, what your position is on this bill. And again I say, what's the hurry? Why are we so anxious to pass this early in the session when we have not completely thought out what we are going to do in the other bill that deals with tort reform, and when we have not put in the repealer for the slight gross standard? I don't understand the hurry, and I think it would be wrong to go about this in this manner. What are we trying to hurry for? What are we trying to put over? Let's do it right. If we are going to have the most significant change in our laws for 80, 90 years, what's the hurry? I think that we should be deliberate and we should fix those things that have been raised on the floor today. And, certainly, we don't need to be in a hurry. The operative date isn't until 1992 and, if we don't have this in order, we certainly have time to put it in order this session and to be ready for 1992. Again, I say, what's the hurry?

SPEAKER BAACK PRESIDING

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Pirsch. We'll now go to Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Before I begin, Mr. Speaker, can you inform the body how many lights we have on.

SPEAKER BAACK: There are three more after you, Senators Withem, Abboud, and Crosby.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, the bracket motion has served a valuable purpose by declaring where we stand on it, what the intentions are and the like. So, for that purpose, the debate has been valuable. But in answer to the