

believe that it is essential to enact relatively quickly, because of the spring elections, and that is on page 2, lines 16, 17, and 18. That stricken language needs to be stricken from that section of statute, 32-425, and on the back page, on page 4 of the language changing the name. Now, apparently when 76-2404 was enacted the wrong term was used, and this is a corrective language to clear up some confusion out there as to what constitutes an officer or director, and it was felt that directors was a far better term, more easily understandable than officers. So those two sections we really do need to enact this year in order to bring our statutes into compliance, one with another. On page 2 the stricken language, if that language is not stricken then a person is prohibited from being on the primary election ballot for more than one office, but it does not preclude them from serving in more than one office, elected at different elections. The other language, the new language that this amendment would strike pertains to the Legislature. LB 931 prohibits the Legislature and constitutional officers, and this is still current statute in compliance with the Constitution, from holding more than one elective office except those that are elected at a private meeting, such as the Fair Board, or a Class I school board, or a fire district board, those are a couple that come to mind. So the amendment that I propose, whether you adopt that or not, applies basically to the members of this body who are ele...who are...whose terms expire on the gubernatorial years, and allows them the opportunity to file for more than one office in the primary, however, they would never be able to hold more than one office should they go through the system. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Coordsen. I'll now recognize Senator Wesely, followed by Senators Landis and Warner. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Madam President. I feel a little bit responsible for the situation we're in, because I did raise the questions which led to this amendment which I don't support. So it's a...I feel kind of bad about opening the door to Pandora's Box. But I am kind of made curious by the possibilities, you might want to think about these. I think currently, the current statute and, Senator Coordsen, correct me if I'm wrong but, as I understand it, currently you can...well, this body is used to doing more than two things at the same time, so I guess running for more than two offices is no big challenge. But the situation, as I understand it, is under the