

February 20, 1991

contests, because there still are two district court cases out there, both of which, while they didn't affect the Legislature, both of which did state that initials were not adequate, that a full signature required something else. So there is a legal precedent that governs everything at least but the Legislature. I don't know if any of those are being appealed to the Supreme Court, which would make them final, but at least at a district court level that precedent is there. So I don't think that there's been as much damage as might be perceived to be, by the fact that we did not pursue this matter to a final vote. At this point I would be very hesitant to put into the statute, or excuse me, into the Journal an affirmative vote on a matter which was not before us, because I accept the ruling of the Chair that it was taken off the table. And I think at this point the only thing that is needed is compliance with the credentials report which was filed, and that was that the person holding the certificate of election shall exercise the duties of office until the election is decided. And I accept the fact that the withdrawal of the election contest in fact did terminate that contested election, and we should...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR WARNER: ...adopt the motion and permanently seat Senator Byars.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Warner. The next speaker is Senator Bernard-Stevens. He waves off. Next is Senator Ashford.

SENATOR ASHFORD: Very briefly, Mr. President, and I will be very brief. But I stand to agree with Senator Chambers motion for the reason that has been stated, and I think it's a very valid one. The tenor of this debate started the first day when Senator Warner indicated, yesterday, that we were acting as a court. And no court will accept the withdrawal of an action or, as Senator Lindsay or Senator Kristensen agreed, without some sort of finding as to why that is being withdrawn, or some sort of order acknowledging the acceptance of the withdrawal, or some sort of closure of the proceeding. If we are acting like a court, it's absolutely incumbent upon us to accept that withdrawal, and in so doing we can issue whatever order we wish to issue on whatever we wish to do. And I think that...and we don't lack jurisdiction, we don't lack jurisdiction because we took jurisdiction. Jurisdiction is with us until we decide to,