

February 20, 1991

meantime. And this gives me an out, and I think that's maybe what "Senator" Korslund was trying to do for us. I think "Senator" Korslund brought this contest to us. And I haven't talked to him. I had no prior knowledge that he was going to do this, but I think maybe "Senator" Korslund brought this contest to us because he was concerned about the election process. And, if we have no record...if we have no record of what we said yesterday, then we haven't screwed up the election process, and I'm very tempted to vote in favor of the Chair for those reasons. I'd like to offer the remainder of my time to Senator Lindsay.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Lindsay, you have about three and a half minutes.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you, Senator Schimek. I had my light on, this may be a little quicker way of getting up to speak. I guess I first just want to mention I don't think this body ought to lose sight of the fact that I did talk to Senator...excuse me, I did talk to Mr. Korslund before he sent that letter in. He brought that letter into us so we could move on, so that the 30th legislative district could have a senator, so that this body could get on with its business. And that was his reason for bringing it in. I think it has to be made absolutely clear that this is a procedural question and should not reflect on any of the participants, this issue that is before us right now. And I have to disagree with Senator Hall and Senator Chambers, I think there is a bit of precedent. And the closest precedent I can find on it is about two or three weeks ago, after an election contest was referred to committee, after it was set for hearing, and on the date of the hearing the Executive Board allowed, sitting as the Credentials Committee, allowed Senator Byars to withdraw his election contest. There were two election contests filed at the same time, one of those was withdrawn. There's been no objection to that withdrawal that's been made by anybody to this point. As far as precedence, as far as how the courts handle these types of things, I think Senator Kristensen has adequately explained that. I can dismiss...have my case dismissed any time before that case is decided by a jury or by the trier of fact. I think there is a precedent for it. Finally, I think, I don't know if it was Mr. Korslund's idea, because I didn't talk to him about that, but it is certainly mine, I agree with Senator Schimek. I would prefer to see it...have it done this way because having the precedent that we voted on yesterday scares the heck out of