

February 20, 1991

let's say, for instance, the Governor would make some appointments and Senator Chambers, by chance, is the one to disagree with those, and disagreed fervently, the Governor chose to change his mind, the Governor, he or she, could withdraw those even though they were before us, we had not debated them yet, he would be perfectly in order to withdraw those and you probably would agree with him on that point of view. So the only precedence that I could see would be that issue, and a case that has happened recently where one Governor made an appoint...made some appointments, a new Governor came in, withdrew them, while they were technically before us the Governor withdrew them, that's a precedence that I think is fine with me. And there is no...there was never an introducer or sponsor to Mr. Korslund's contest. And given the fact that he has withdrawn it, as when the Governor withdraws an appointment, it's perfectly in order. I think the Chair, after thinking about it a little bit my initial reaction was I didn't know what the Chair was up to. After thinking about it a little bit believe it or not I think the Chair was right. We should overrule the Chair...no, we should not overrule the Chair, stand by it, get to it, vote on Senator Warner's motion to seat Senator Byars permanently.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Moore. We'll now go to Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I did not intend to speak on this subject, so I would like to give my time to Senator Hall, if I might.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Smith. Mr. President and members, very respectfully I rise to support the motion to overrule the Chair. I, like others, know of no precedence for this motion. I understand the rationale behind it, I just don't accept it. There is nothing in our rule book that says a lobbyist will now not start sending in notes directly to the Clerk and say I want to withdraw my amendment, because we all know that they are their amendments, they are not ours. Define introducers amendment to me in the rule book, I guess, and I will say that then does not...will not happen. It probably is a fitting end to this travesty, because yesterday we voted to reject the statutes. Yesterday we said we're not going to obey the statutes, we'll write them, we'll put them in place for