

February 20, 1991

motion will be in...or not this motion, but the next motion will be an affirmative vote to seat him permanently. And I think this is the way it will be. I think that the Chair should be sustained and support him, and then we can get to this next motion.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Hefner. We'll now go to Senator Crosby. Senator Crosby waives off. Senator Nelson, did you wish to speak on overruling the Chair?

SENATOR NELSON: Mr. Speaker, no doubt that probably the ruling of the Chair is right. I've not spoke on this issue at any time, even though I was tempted to. I think this is a perfect example. This is going down in history. Our actions today and yesterday will be followed by other states, a precedence is being set. I think it's unfortunate that Mr. Korslund desired to withdraw. It does not allow us the opportunity to go ahead and to maybe preserve in history what our intentions were or were not. Having served on Judiciary Committee, I have found out many, many times over we cannot act on intentions or good will. And, as much as I would like to in this particular case, we must follow the letter of the law, if not, we have too many of these attorneys around here that are going to find something different. And I think that this may even, or could have happened in this case. Senator Hefner, you and I are in the same boat, we're not attorneys. So, with that, I think it's unfortunate that this situation happened in the last 15 minutes or so, because we are making history, folks. And for us to just throw up our hands and say, no, we accept it, and we kind of come away from here with a feeling that, well, you senators, you make the laws but you cannot withhold the laws, and I think it's unfortunate. So I probably will rule to...vote to overrule the Chair, excepting I'm not quite sure in my mind it's the right thing to do. And I wish that Senator Warner's amendment could at least get on the books.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Nelson. Now we'll go to Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, I would call the question, but it's probably not in order, so I won't. So I'll speak briefly. I think I'm a little uncomfortable with the Chair's ruling, but then...because there is no precedent there whatsoever. There's nothing in our rule books in how to deal with it. The only precedence, I think is Senator Chambers would have to agree,