

February 20, 1991

be a terrible precedence to establish. What we're doing now in terms of talking about Senator Hall's amendment and/or talking about Senator Warner's approach I think is the way that we need to address this. We had a fair discussion yesterday that brought up most of the salient issues of the contest, the 95 votes and some of these other ideas were lifted in this body, were not part of the contest. At the time were not deemed by Mr. Korsiund as being something that should be in the contest or he would have included those in there. He was simply talking about the absentee ballots. We ruled on the absentee ballots I believe yesterday or at least did not go along with the majority report which basically said there was not significant or substantial compliance. I think this body ruled based upon the fact that they thought they, an initial versus a signature was a substantial compliance and now we're down to the point of how we deal with the rejection of the majority report. So I would strongly urge the body to focus on Senator Hall's option or Senator Warner apparently is offering another twist of really trying to accomplish the same thing and possibly Senator Warner's is even better. I haven't had a chance to see it yet, but better in the sense that it does establish precedent for the future, and not only because it is Senator Hall versus Senator Warner, and I would stick with you anyway, Tim. I think you had the best idea on the table a minute ago and I've yet to see the other one but I think here is where we need to focus and that being on what we're going to do in the future, how we're going to deal with this contest. I think many people were jumping on other techniques because the pressure is great and people were looking for safety valves to jump out on. But I think now we have proper proposals in front of us and hopefully we'll focus on those.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Conway. We'll no go to Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. Thank you, Senator Hall, for putting this amendment up and taking the bull by the horns as it may be. What is important here is that if we do prolong this discussion and if we do count other 95 votes, there will be additional requests for discovery that will be difficult to turn down. There will be additional times for briefs. There will be additional times for a wide variety of things, pressures, wranglings and so on. What is important here, I think, is to try to put this into some perspective and try to reach a conclusion although I don't want it to be a