

February 20, 1991

you considered proposing, is that correct?

SENATOR WARNER: I had drafted, because I was extremely uncomfortable with the historical record that would have shown up as, that was in the minority report.

SENATOR LAMB: Thank you, Senator. Well at this point...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR LAMB: ...the proposal that we have on the floor at this point, I will support Senator Hall's amendment to the minority report recognizing that Senator Warner probably does have a point that for historical purposes perhaps it is not as all inclusive as it should be. However, I think it does leave us with a vote which really comes down with the decision of the Legislature and I guess I would submit that there has been plenty of floor debate here that the intent of the Legislature should not be in question with the minority report as amended...

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR LAMB: ...by Senator Hall.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Lamb. We'll now go to Senator Conway.

SENATOR CONWAY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. Several things have happened since I turned on my light, but I thought I'd take this opportunity to speak anyway so that anyone who may be sitting back thinking that the 95 vote idea was a good idea, I think we need to think about it. I thought about it a long time and Senator Landis in his articulate fashion probably better described it than I, but I would like to reiterate with respect to that not being an option that people hopefully are waiting for, but will accept either Senator Hall or maybe even more appropriately now, Senator Warner's way of addressing this. If, in fact, we were and you were sitting back waiting for those 95 votes to be counted to be included into this process, what we're basically doing is putting out a statement that is extremely dangerous in my mind. What we're saying is we will count all votes that we can by hook or crook get into the ballot box. Many of those, as Senator Landis mentioned, were slicks, they were single signatures. They are not at all in the category of having substantial compliance and I think that would