

February 20, 1991

the seating would be appropriate, but again, I'm not going to pursue it. I do think we made the right decision not to pursue trying to count other ballots. Certainly the statute is exceedingly plain that that jurisdiction was not ours to expand. Although the logic is the same as the logic I suspect for counting the absentee ballots that the voter intent ought to prevail no matter. But to go down...once a person opts not to abide by a statute precisely, then there is no place to stop of course as to the next place and the next time and that is a genuine concern of mine, but it is immaterial at this point. But I would hope that you would at least adopt then Senator Hall's amendment, although I don't think it goes far enough to lay out a basis but at least it is far superior to attempting to amend the Constitution or interpret the Constitution which I think is far beyond the authority that this body has.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Warner. We'll now go to Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President, members, I appreciate the fact that Senator Landis and Senator Withem have withdrawn their amendments. I think they were beside the point. I guess I would like to ask Senator Hall if I'm correct in believing that the main part of the minority report, which remains, is stated on the third page of that report? Senator Hall, could I ask you a question?

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Hall, would you respond?

SENATOR HALL: Yes.

SENATOR LAMB: Okay, the first page of the report is...stays as it is, I believe, except the last couple lines.

SENATOR HALL: That is correct.

SENATOR LAMB: And then at the top of the second page there is two paragraphs beginning Article 1 and Article 6 remain in the report. Is that correct?

SENATOR HALL: That is correct.

SENATOR LAMB: And then the rest of it is deleted until we get down to number 2 on the third page?