

February 20, 1991

our own arguments. We're going to have to go back to our districts respectively and explain why we did what we did. Some of us have been called upon to do that overnight, very emphatically in some cases, and I have no qualms about being able to defend my position. I think those of you who disagree with me can be equally sincere in defense of your own position, but I do believe that it ought to be done. We are now in the 28th day of this legislative session. I was recalling to Senator Labeledz, those of you who are older will recall so, 1948 President Truman campaigned very successfully against what he called a do nothing Congress. I would suggest that in about a year and a half there will be those of us who will have to face the issue of a do nothing Legislature because this session thus far has been perhaps the least productive, and maybe that's not all bad, of any of the sessions that I have been in. And I think that given the present situation, it may not improve very much. So I would suggest recognizing the risk that is involved and I am one of those who do not believe it is necessary to adopt the minority report. I think that was an error on the part of our side, very frankly, to ask for that. I think that Senator Hall is a member of this body as is. We rejected the majority report and that would have been sufficient but we are in this, so I would oppose the Withem motion and I would hope that we could get on to the Hall amendment and I'd hope that Senator Hall, once having amended the minority report, would support it. Obviously, he has decided not to, but that's not the first time that has happened. But I do believe that if we are going to do anything at all, we ought to do it today and try to decide the issue today. It has not been fair to other candidates, Senator or the other candidate, and the longer we drag it out, the more burdensome it is going to be, the more difficult it is going to be for this Legislature to function as we know it should function. At this time I would have to oppose Senator Withem's motion.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Schmit. Senator Withem, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR WITHEM: Well I think I'm probably going to have to oppose Senator Withem's motion now, too, because nobody else seems to like it too much. I offered this motion as a...yesterday frankly, as a way of making sure we got to discuss Senator Landis's concepts and I thought perhaps the Executive Board might like another shot at dealing with this under the new standards, or the standards that the body seems to be dictating,