

February 19, 1991

rule a motion out of order. Our rules are incredibly clear as to that. Page 53 of our rule book, Rule 7, Section 3, paragraph (b), when a motion or other proposition is under consideration, a motion to amend and a motion to amend that amendment shall be in order. Clear, unambiguous language. This minority report is most certainly a motion or other proposition. What Senator Landis has done is clearly an amendment, it cannot be ruled out of order. Under no circumstances can you read our rule book and pretend to follow our rules and vote to sustain the Chair in this case. Now I don't know if I think it's a particularly good amendment or not. I want to hear his arguments. If it's not a good amendment, you vote it down. Now if you think by voting to sustain the Chair you will avoid the discussion, you're wrong on that also, because immediately after Senator Landis was ruled out of order, I filed a motion to rerefer, or to recommit this motion back to the Executive Board. So we will be discussing this matter. You will not avoid discussion of this matter by voting to sustain the Chair, quickly getting to a vote on the motion to overrule the Chair, and then get to a motion on the minority report and have this thing all wrapped up right away. You're not going to do that, because Senator Landis raises a valid point that deserves to be considered. My point in speaking is to point out that you cannot ignore the rules. I may like this rule, if the rule is, if the understanding is because those folks on the Executive Board said we won't allow amendments, that takes precedence, by golly, next time an Education Committee bill comes to the floor of the Legislature we are going to decide that this is one that we will not allow an amendment on. Nothing...this is not the United States House of Representatives where committees have that type of responsibility, whether it's the Executive Board, a Standing Committee, or whatever it is. It's important, ladies and gentlemen, that we debate these issues fully and comprehensively. But it's probably more important that in debating those we continue to hang onto our rules as a body. And Senator Chambers, gosh, I assumed you were going to say all this and you didn't. And so somebody had to. But seriously, the Chair did make an incorrect ruling by allowing...by ruling that a motion to amend a motion is out of order, because it's clearly in order. Vote the Chair down. Consider Senator Landis' amendment. I'll withdraw the motion to reconsider and allow the whole thing to stand. If you vote to sustain the Chair, then we'll get to my motion to reconsider, and we're going to go through the whole debate anyway. But the Chair is definitely wrong in this case. And I say that with all, well,