

February 14, 1991 LB 114

SENATOR PIRSCH: But what we are guaranteeing with this is that money for that raise. Is that correct?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: That's what this fiscal note would, yes.

SENATOR PIRSCH: From \$35,000 to \$40,000 for the director.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: No.

SENATOR PIRSCH: No?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: You say we would be guaranteeing it?

SENATOR PIRSCH: Yeah.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: No, we would not.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay. That would be in their regularly requested budget then? No A bill is needed with this, I guess that's my question.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: That is correct, that is correct.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Pirsch. I will now recognize Senator Warner followed by Senator Schmit.

SENATOR WARNER: Yeah, Mr. President, Senator Schimek, in your last comment I would think an A bill probably is required if I look at the fiscal note, not that that's particularly important to my question. The only question I have, and I have no quarrel with the bill at all, but in the process of arriving at \$50 as opposed to \$35, I only wonder if you have checked or if there is a comparable per diem for other organizations, other agencies rather, with comparable boards and I only raise the question not that I object to the 50 at all, but I can recall a legislator some years ago and it may have been 1971 who spent fully two years trying to come to some logic of like compensation for different boards and it's almost a guarantee that when you change one that it will precipitate to five or six bills next session to equalize and maybe these are low, and I don't know.