

February 14, 1991 LB 45

some of these transformers cost a substantial amount of money and, you know, it just made good business sense, I guess, that we raise that figure to 100,000. I will try to answer some questions, if there are any.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Johnson. Mr. Clerk, do you have some motions on the desk.

CLERK: Madam President, Senator Beutler would move to amend the committee amendments.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, basically, this amendment to the committee amendments I think will be noncontroversial, and the effect is to restore Sections 2 and 3, which the committee eliminated. The committee eliminated 2 and 3 because they were kind enough to allow certain of us to work on liability and insurance provisions that were contained in the bill, but once we got to looking at it, we decided that it wasn't fair to Senator Schellpeper to take on a major...what turned out to be a major project, and that what we ought to really do is just eliminate some verbiage that is completely irrelevant and go back next year and address the substantial problems that are in the sections. And so, all we are doing with this amendment is restoring Senator Schellpeper bill as it was with regard to Sections 2 and 3, and you can look in your bill and just see what those do. Basically, they just make it easier for the district to deal with bonding problems by using insurance instead of a bond. These are not the most important sections of the bill by any means, and then it strikes some unnecessary or some obsolete verbiage having to do with taxing powers that the districts don't have. So I have taken a lot of time and a long explanation to explain what is basically a technical amendment in the end, and I would ask for your approval of the amendment to the amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Beutler. I will now recognize senators wishing to speak on the Beutler amendment to the amendment. Senator Withem.

SENATOR WITHEM: Yes, I have a couple of questions, one of Senator Beutler, and there will be one of Senator Johnson, also. Maybe that is more appropriate on the bill, itself. But, Senator Beutler, a few years ago, I got involved in a couple of