

to have done it all in one bill and one amendment? Absolutely, because we talk about it all in one day. Everybody's questions could go back and forth and it's just a lot easier. Procedurally, it's a lot easier. I can't do that. There are going to be people on the floor who don't like the state, the subdivisions doing inspections, period, legitimate. That's legitimate, that's a policy choice and Senator Chizek will stand up and tell you about how awful he believes that to be and those are legitimate points that one can argue. It's a policy. Do I buy that policy? No. Does he? Yes. Does that mean that he's wrong and I'm right? No, but it's whatever the body is going to decide it's going to be. And so I respect that part of this. What I am asking you to do is to consider...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...and follow Senator Warner's recommendations on this. I think that LB 262, then we will get a vote straight up, one that we can look at and deal with at that point in time. With that, Madam President, thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. I will now recognize Senator Abboud.

SENATOR ABBOUD: Madam President, members of the body, I guess...I guess it's starting all over again. It's kind of one of those nightmares that you dream about in the middle of the night and you can't imagine, it's like reliving LB 775 over and over and over again, reconsidering the bill over and over and over again. And that's exactly what's going to happen to LB 88. We're starting to reconsider the amendments that we voted on prior...yesterday. I noticed we just...an amendment was just handed out to LB 88 dealing with the assumption of the risk. I assume we're going to have collateral source back in here. We're going to reconsider every single amendment that we considered earlier; first, on General File, then on Select File. I mean, I guess if I was opposed to this bill, I would probably use the same tactics and the tactics are put in one word and that is filibuster. You keep on adding amendments. You keep on casting doubt on the membership as to the validity of the actual bill. I oppose the reconsideration motion because I have a feeling that if the next amendment we have here...I don't know who the sponsor of the amendment is, but this will be offered and then we'll probably have a reconsideration motion on that particular motion. And you know what will happen? It will be