

February 12, 1991 LB 88, 262

been, that we were headed for exactly where we are to get boxed in with parliamentary procedure, which may or may not have been correct, but, nevertheless, that is how it was. You cannot argue that the two issues or the two bills are not related because they are. As a matter of fact, very clearly governmental subdivisions of the state are in LB 88. The promise that there was promise that was implied on General File, they said there was going to be an opportunity to address those issues, that is all. It was not a promise to be resolved, a promise to address. It may not affect anybody else but I cannot vote on LB 88 in the absence of having some idea of what is going to happen to LB 262. I cannot divorce the two. It is not an issue of good and bad policy. It is how that policy is affecting those two governmental types of subdivisions in the state. I'd prefer that we would have dealt with them with the way it was implied to be dealt with on General File. That, obviously, isn't there so the only option left is this one of bracketing, which I am simply uncomfortable with. But you do what you have to do. I said that LB 88 was, basically, okay, but I also said in every sentence that you had to treat the governmental subdivisions in the state differently than as it is now. And, obviously, if you don't realize it, I can almost guarantee it, you will never have that opportunity. It will not occur. So just give that a thought, if it is immaterial to you what is going to happen, what the impact is going to be on the state and the governmental subdivisions, then speed 88 across because I don't think you will ever have a chance to do anything about it.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Warner. We will now proceed to Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I am not nearly as skeptical as Senator Warner about your having a chance to do something about it. I think you most certainly will on LB 262. Senator Warner is correct, of course, in one sense, that all these things go together because they are all a part of our tort law system. But I want to make clear again that in an historic sense, in an historical sense, we have not treated the types of things that Senator Warner is attempting to treat together together before. LB 88 is about contributory negligence, and it is about the standard that applies. And it is important to realize that historically, as far back as I know anyway, and I think forever, political subdivisions and regular private parties have all been treated the same with regard to