

February 12, 1991 LB 125

could potentially, I understand it is nebulous, but any game, ball park figure of how many ordinances could be, in fact, deemed unconstitutional and a defendant would have a right to recover damages?

SENATOR ELMER: I think it would be extremely small.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: So in other words, we don't know?

SENATOR ELMER: I would say it is going to be extremely small because I don't believe that any city is going to pass an ordinance...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Ten, fifteen, five?

SENATOR ELMER: Less than one a year probably, I would guess. I don't know.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: And that would include what we pass as a Legislature as well, correct?

SENATOR ELMER: Yeah. You know, in the cities the unconstitutional ordinances that would be under these...enacted under the police power are things like obscenity, some of those kind of things.

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you. I guess, members of the body, and I'll speak on it, I have my light on one other time. I'm very, very nervous when we get to this type of legislation, though I certainly understand what Senator Elmer is trying to do. In fact, I agree with what the senator is trying to do up to a point. I guess where I get up to the point is when we start looking at appropriations or possible cost to the state of liabilities on numbers of cases that we do not know. I've had no information particularly on the amount of damages that might be given or awarded to a defendant in any particular case and I get very, very leery when we get to the point of sometimes we're going to allow certain defendants under unconstitutional laws to collect or at least to apply for damages, and in other cases, we may not allow defendants to...

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.