

Revenue Department as we increase possibly their workload. In fact, we, at one time we passed legislation that the Revenue Department should check the border bleeding of selling agricultural equipment to other states. They weren't getting the job done and we said, why. And they said, we need more staff. So, as I recall, we added four staff members to go out and check this and to report back to us as to their success and how they were doing. We haven't ever heard a thing, except they do have their new staff. So, I would disagree with Senator Landis, on how we're going to hamper the state agencies and ask you to vote for LB 22. Thank you, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Haberman. I'll now recognize Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body. A couple of things, one fiscal note. The reason there is no fiscal note is because there is almost no cost to this bill. There is a cost to the state agencies in that when they prepare for the Governor's office their notice of hearing that an additional copy of that notice must be made and sent to the Exec Board. There is a time cost to the Exec Board in whatever time it takes to look at the chart or whatever process is used and reference that particular piece of paper to a Standing Committee. Those are the shalls in this bill. Everything else is may, everything else is permissible. If the Banking Committee does not elect to use this bill, strictly permissible language. One thing that hasn't been mentioned before is that there exists, with this system in place, a strong possibility that on the agency side of government, in the process of writing rules and regulations, that closer attention will be paid to the intent of the Legislature and the words of statute, and that we, quite probably, will have very few occasions to provide input on the hearing day or the other means by which regulations come into place, that is in disagreement with the regulations, because the mere fact of having an information oversight may well have the net result of cleaner, more correctly drafted regulations. This is, except as I indicated, a permissive bill. It does require notice to be given. There is some slight additional cost. I think that if we can avoid having to come in with one bill in a year to correct a misinterpretation by an agency of a law enacted by this body, we will have recovered far more than what the fiscal cost of the bill would be. I think the system that's put in place is as neat, as clean, as simple as possible, almost totally permissible, it is totally