

that committee rather than passing a law here directing how they are to use their time. My staff was here last night, until eleven-thirty, working on the insurance bills. And your passing a law giving them more work to do, because you don't want to do the work yourself, is a burden that I think is unfair and unreasonable, particularly because this is passed, apparently, in the name of us doing our job better to make sure that those darn agencies do their job better. All you have to do is have the flexibility and effort of your personal priority to do this work. You sure don't need to pass laws to tell yourself how to do your job better. And if you're telling me that you want to do your jobs better, fine, just don't pass a law that tells me how to run my staff and my committee, or my time. Let me set my own priorities. I don't think that I am that undiligent, that my time is so ill-spent, that I need to, by force of law, have my staff given this workload, given all the things that there are to do. And where is the A bill? Senator Haberman, you are a regular clamorer for A bills. Is there more work for every administrative agency because of this bill? Yes, there is. Is there more work for our staff? Yes, there is. For what work, for what end, I'm not sure, but there is no A bill on this. And the conservatives, who voted against the kill motion, regularly stand up here and say we should only do those things that are necessary, that government that is the least government governs the best, and now we're going to spend time and resources to do that which is a function that any of us could choose to do, and to prioritize the workload of committee staff by the rule of law. I'd scratch my head and say why, why is this necessary? If it is not necessary, why are we using law rather than the informal processes that we have to accomplish it? No literal-minded conservative, seems to me, should be voting for a bill, all the contents of which we could accomplish, if we wish to, without it. We just don't have the personal intent to do this. But, by passing a law, I guess we're going to say, this will bring those darn administrative agencies to their knees. It won't. It will be work product, and...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...paper pushing towards very, very little end. The cost benefit from the work that we will require of our staff and of administrative agencies will be minuscular to what we gain from it. But, my guess is we'll do it.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Landis. I'll now recognize