

February 7, 1991 LB 142

SENATOR ROBINSON: Now with this appropriation, how much money do we have left in the A bill fund...

SENATOR MOORE: Well, obviously, that's...

SENATOR ROBINSON: According to what the Governor says? Because I want Senator Hefner to hear this now.

SENATOR MOORE: If you agreed to what the Governor did, there would be 5 million...there would be 4,700,000, but then you've got to take a lot of things out of there, too. But what the Governor...

SENATOR ROBINSON: What do you take out?

SENATOR MOORE: Well, what the Governor does by the time we get to May, what the Governor had to spend and what we have to spend are going to be two different things probably. So if this is a priority, vote for it and continue voting for it.

SENATOR ROBINSON: I've had a lot of calls from my rural friends and the only thing I've been called on is Amendment 1. I have not...maybe that's a higher priority than crow eradication, I don't know. But I thought I would put that in. I think that's a good idea though to move them to Seward though, Senator Schrock.

SPEAKER BAACK: Any further discussion on LB 142? Senator Rod Johnson, do you wish to close on the advancement of LB 142?

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Yes, Mr. President. There has been a number of references made to Amendment 1 with this issue and some people have said, well, what are the priorities of the agriculture community this year. I would say don't confuse this issue with Amendment 1. They're both important, I think, but this is not a rural issue. In fact, it is as much a metropolitan or urban issue as it is an agricultural issue. As I've said, I think if you think the program is important, I hope that you will vote for it. If you feel it is an unnecessary expenditure of state dollars, then I would suggest that you vote against it. But I am also going to hold Senator Moore accountable that every program that comes up on this floor this year, and I think he's going to be standing up a lot, and tell you that we don't have the money for it, what I'm saying is we don't know what the Appropriations Committee's budget is going