

February 6, 1991 LB 300

Quite often prizes and that kind of thing that you get are. I don't know all the rules on that, but do you...is it subject to income tax?

SENATOR HALL: I don't...

SENATOR CROSBY: Is it income?

SENATOR HALL: I don't know. The only one of the three examples that would be potentially subject to income tax, I guess, would be the \$1,500 cash that you receive back.

SENATOR CROSBY: Uh-huh.

SENATOR HALL: That would be...a reduction in an interest loan would not, in any case, I don't think, be subject to income tax but the...

SENATOR CROSBY: No.

SENATOR HALL: It's possible, I guess, but I can't answer that question. I don't know. I don't think so but I can't actually tell you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Hall. I was just curious in that way...in one particular thing on that second question. My main reason for speaking is that in the note on the bill from the committee it says, "There is currently some ambiguity in the law regarding what the base amount is from which is calculated the annual increase." The word "ambiguity", I don't...I have no quarrel with that sentence except the use of the word "ambiguity" brings to mind that I say, yes, never a truer word. There are ambiguities in the Revenue Code and I have a lot of...I have constituents that call me about things that happen to them because of these little quirks and I get really up tight with the Revenue Department because I never feel like I get a really good answer from them to help the constituent. Going way back on the lemon laws, some people in my district never did get a satisfactory settlement on the tax they had paid on a car that then went back to the manufacturer because it was a lemon. They ended up paying on the tax on a car they didn't have. And never could we ever get a good answer from the Revenue Department. The second thing is my arts organizations. They are after them all the time, nit picking here and there to be sure that these nonprofit groups are paying the taxes that they're supposed to