

February 5, 1991 LB 22

SENATOR COORDSEN: Yes.

SENATOR MOORE: Yes, Senator Coordsen. I know in the past there were some Supreme Court opinions that basically rendered our old administrative rules and review committee unconstitutional. And that went by the wayside then. So this is, obviously, a replacement to that old process and, in your opinion, this particular bill has no constitutional problems?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Because it does not give the Legislature the opportunity to demand anything of the executive branch, yes. Only to provide input should they so desire at the hearing.

SENATOR MOORE: Okay...

SENATOR COORDSEN: So it does...it is constitutional, yes.

SENATOR MOORE: The second question for Senator Coordsen. Was this legislation modeled after some other state and, in fact, are there some other states, to your knowledge, that actually go further than this bill would do?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Well, yes, yes and yes. We don't do things without looking at what has been used and has been tried, especially in an area like this where you are involved in separation of powers.

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR COORDSEN: This is modeled too loosely after current Connecticut law.

SENATOR MOORE: Okay. Well, then the one last concern that I...I am supportive of the bill even though...the one last concern, I heard Senator Beutler's light was on, maybe he can address this and maybe Senator Landis. One of my concerns is that, you know, if something like this particularly if it...if you shall review it and it becomes a document, if the committee makes a report, you know, where does that small report rendered on rule and reg, where would that enter into the whole legislative history as to what the intent of the Legislature was? Obviously, at a later point in time would there be a concern where that committee made a report to some agency, whereas now, I mean, the legislative history is truly the floor debate and the committee hearing, I guess is there any reason to