

relating to that institution, which is under our control, at least partially, sometimes, and not worry about the NCAA. You know there are implications to this bill but I don't think it is necessary for us to engage in what I consider to be somewhat confrontational politics by putting those things in the bill. If, in fact, this bill goes into effect in the simpler form that I am suggesting, it may...it will still generate the conflict. It is not going to avoid a resolution of the question but, at least, it gets out of the bill the criminal provisions, the felony provisions, so that we are not worried about those, and it tones down the bill somewhat, I think. So once again, I am eliminating Sections 5 through 10, but by no means eliminating the central effect of the bill. Thank you.

**SPEAKER BAACK:** Discussion on Senator Beutler's amendment. Senator Chambers.

**SENATOR CHAMBERS:** Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, Senator Beutler is correct in everything that he has stated. By taking the sections out of the bill that his amendment would remove simplifies the bill tremendously. It converts the bill into a very direct, concise, and clear statement of the position being taken by the Legislature. The form of the bill with Senator Beutler's amendment will be even closer to the argument that I have been giving for the bill, itself, and that argument is that when we, as a Legislature, or when the U.S. government through the Congress creates through law a financial aid program to assist needy students, there should be no discrimination. Once you qualify under those criteria, you should obtain the aid. This bill with Senator Beutler's amendment will say that, and it will indicate that no rule or regulation can be adopted that would result in that type of discrimination. I am going to support Senator Beutler's amendment. It also, as he pointed out, takes away all criminal provisions from the bill so nobody, regardless of what happens, is confronted by the possibility of a criminal charge. If a student is harmed by the university in violation of what the bill will say, namely, that there can be no discrimination, the bill still will allow that student to have a remedy to correct that discrimination. When I originally brought the bill, I would rather have been able to bring it in the form that Senator Beutler's amendment will put it. The other language relative to the NCAA and giving the university a basis for moving against them, that language was put in because of the concerns that people such as Senator Hefner and others would have. Frankly, I don't feel it is our responsibility as a