

February 4, 1991 LB 69

well as the NCAA?

SENATOR BEUTLER: I would definitely feel more comfortable.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If you would offer that amendment, I would support it because it is not necessary to the achievement of the bill's goal because it allows the enforcement to be done by the person, either the student or the school which is impacted.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So that is not an essential part.

SENATOR BEUTLER: While I have just a few seconds, Senator Chambers, let me ask you to think about one more question and to address it when your time comes again, if you have not already done so. But I know in prior years, I think you had some provisions in the bill that provided that when a certain number of...

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...states had done the same thing, then your bill would go into effect, but that is not in this year's bill I noticed. You might want to comment on that.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Go to Senator Nelson.

SENATOR NELSON: Mr. Speaker, I, too, have some concerns on this bill and I did last year. I guess they haven't gone away. I will give Senator Chambers a minute of my time to answer Senator Beutler and I have a question, too. Senator Chambers, how many students are we specifically talking about? Is it a lot or four or three students, how many? How many may or may not be affected?

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In direct response to your question, Senator Nelson, nobody can tell because it varies from year to year. It depends on how many walk-ons they have, and there is not a specific number, but the university hasn't been able to give one either.