

face. I have a second half of the amendment that I introduced last week. The main part of that amendment was the joint and several that we debated for three hours on Friday. That has been attached. I believe that to be good policy and I believe that needs to stay in that bill and I will promise you I will do everything that I can to keep that in. Senator Ashford had a couple of phrases in there. It is called objectively verifiable things that I told him in my closing that I would consider changing and doing and I will do that. I would like to do that on Select File. One is because I think there is some complicated language. I want to make sure instead of having it in a hand scrawled note up there, I'd like to be able to take it through the bill drafters and do it, the job, right the first time I do it and do it on Select File. The second half of the amendment deals with inspections of political subdivisions. The bill...I believe that that was not a germane amendment. Once it was severed that was not germane. The most appropriate is my LB 262 which is in committee, but it should go back and that would be an appropriate form to put that onto and to bring that up to the floor. Senator Warner's argument, I believe, is to say let's treat them both together, let's wait for one to catch up with the other one. I don't think that is particularly necessary. I think that LB 262 will be a good debate vehicle because there should be and probably will be other amendments to be made to the bill that deal in the areas of immunities with be they roads, be they political subdivisions and so on. That's where I would place those amendments. And as I've told you, I still believe that LB 88 is good policy especially as the way it stands now with the amendment we adopted on Friday. LB 88 is a proper bill at this point. I think it has the best possible things that we can get at this point in time with it without one, having the bill die on its own. So with that I'd oppose the bracket motion.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Further discussion on the bracket motion, Senator Abboud.

SENATOR ABOUD: Yes, Mr. President, I...serving on the Judiciary Committee, I had the opportunity of hearing LB 262 as well as LB 88, and I might say that I'm quite baffled by this particular motion because LB 262 had essentially four parts to the bill and all four parts have been considered on this floor. Number one, the first part, was changing the contributory negligence, slight gross, which is currently a part of LB 88 at this time. The second part dealt with the joint and several,