

February 4, 1991 LB 577

add anymore to what Senator Wesely said. Thank you.

SENATOR LAMB: The Chair recognizes Senator Warner followed by Senators Baack, Schmit, Hefner and Schimek.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this, again, issue has been pretty well discussed but I will merely point out and repeat what I understood to be the position of the majority of the Reference Committee and, again, it dealt with the issues that have been outlined, the penalty and the provisions for court and attorney, county attorneys to act and the penalty involved was the prime reason for the reference to Judiciary as opposed to the Health Committee. It was discussed two or three times in the Reference Committee and in each instance the suggestion to reverse the original reference was never changed. I should point out that members of the Reference Committee, some members at least, have indicated that, and we will be doing this, so that next year there will be a clear outline ahead of time as to whether or not bills go by subject matter or by penalty and to what committee most likely the subject matter is to go to, but that obviously was not possible to do before the session started this year but it will be done next year. But with that, since the policy was established fairly early on in the Reference Committee that penalties should have a prominent role in where a bill was referenced, this was consistent with that policy. It was started in the Reference the first two or three days and was consistent with that all the way through, so...

SENATOR LAMB: The Chair recognizes Senator Baack.

SPEAKER BAACK: Yes, Mr. Chairman and colleagues, I stand to oppose the motion to rerefer the bill. I just want to make a couple of points. As Senator Warner said, the Reference Committee did look at this a couple of different times and on both occasions we moved the bill to the Judiciary Committee, and kept the bill referenced to Judiciary Committee. When Jack Rodgers made the recommendation to the Reference Committee, just for the record, he did, on the sheet it did say that he referenced it to Judiciary but he did also say when he referenced it there that it could just...I mean, he did reference it to Health and Human Services but he did say very clearly that it could just as well go to Judiciary because it had in the past. And a number of years ago, if you look back to '87 you will find that that is where he did reference those