

have positions. We all have to live in the same state together. I can't let Senator Hall have everything that he wants for Omaha just as he can't let Minden have everything it wants. That's the reason we're here. The purpose of legislation is to blend and mold those competing points of views and interests and if it can be done, it's great. It's good legislation. One point. Second point Senator Landis makes is also valid. I take exception to the first point as it applies to me. The purpose that I am here is that I think that LB 88 is a workable bill. You will have those who will tell you it is unworkable. LB 88 will work. I believe my amendments will make them a better bill, but it is not designed to say that LB 88 is not a workable bill. Senator Abboud's amendment says, let's take what everybody can agree to and do it. From that point of view, I am not opposed to that because Senator Ashford has talked about it in his opening in the committee. He has talked about it today. He talked about it the other day when he opened, that his purpose is to get rid of slight gross. From that point of view, I can live with that. I think there are other things I could do to make it better, but I can live with Senator Abboud's amendment, and so, Senator Landis, I think you're right. But I do want to take exception that there are people who may do the column and ledgers, but the law is real important to me not for what I can make for it because I'm not going to live in this area. The law is real important that we have something that works and it is unfair to people to put a system of justice out there that doesn't work and only works for those who can figure it out. That's wrong, wrong, wrong. I'm interested in policy and theory. That's the reason I can, in good faith, tell you that LB 88 can work. I think it can work better, but it can work. With that, Senator Abboud, although I don't like to, I think I can do better. I think the body would like to hear more. I can live with that.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Next is Senator Warner. Did you want to speak to the Abboud amendment?

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I feel a little bit like Senator Carstens, I think, in this issue, but the one thing, as I have listened to the discussion, this may be one of the most unique bills I've seen in 28 years because I cannot remember, there may have been one, but I don't remember any bill that we have ever enacted that was so isolated in its impact that it affected nothing else. And from what I understand on the issue, the impact of the change that would be