

January 31, 1991 LB 58

flexibility we may have and so, rather than move forward in uncertainty, Senator Beutler is suggesting that we review the situation through the Department of Aging, and then take steps next session. I think that's the wise way to go, and I would support this amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Wesely. I'll now recognize Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Could I ask Senator Beutler if he would read the amendment. I have not seen it. They were in the process of composing it the last time I knew. You don't have it. Could someone...would someone read the amendment, please.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Senator, the amendment reads as follows. (Began reading Beutler amendment as found on page 468 of the Journal.)

SENATOR SMITH: Excuse me, line 17?

CLERK: Yes, ma'am.

SENATOR SMITH: Strike the period.

CLERK: Um-huh.

SENATOR SMITH: Am I looking at the wrong version of LB 58.

CLERK: Page 20.

SENATOR SMITH: Oh, 20, I'm sorry, I'm on the wrong page. Okay. I know. Oh, this is a different amendment. All right, would you read me this one first then, I was on the other one. Seventeen...

CLERK: (Finished reading amendment.)

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you. I think the way this reads now is fine. I just now got off the telephone and will confirm to you that I did find out that in fact we cannot change the way this is written in order for it to be in compliance with the federal law, which is the Older Americans Act. So, this way I don't think we're doing anything that we can't be doing. So, I would