

January 14, 1991

because Mike Harper and others wanted it. Senators were angry with me. People in the lobby were angry. But after I persevered, and some of the amendments I offered were for the purpose of making a point rather than to be adopted, to point out flaws in the bill. I didn't like LB 775 after it was amended and I voted against it, but it was not as bad a bill as it was prior to that discussion. And there was discussion, a legislative record was created, and had I not forced the body into doing that, there would have been no discussion because nobody else was of as strong a mind to see that there was discussion. There is no way that the body can shut a member up. For the freshmen, and fresh...for the freshpersons, the rule is aimed to stop me. It is aimed to silence me, but it won't succeed. If I was as perverse as some people would indicate by adopting a rule such as this, Senator Beutler, an intelligent person, or just a perceptive person, could offer enough amendments to take up the full eight hours of discussion that is allowed anyway, and you would be forced to vote to advance the bill to stop that one person without any debate on the bill, itself. And Senator Moore sits there talking about having orderly discussion of the legislature's business. He is not talking about order in the sense of a logical progression from step 1 to step 2 to step 3, but he means something very precipitant, hasty, and without the appearance of disagreement. If you do it quickly, that is, in his mind, order. I disagree. The Constitution exists for the purpose of protecting the minority against the majority. The majority can always work its will by sheer force, and if the majority decides to ignore the Constitution, it can do that as it has done on occasion. Freedom of the press was designed to protect a press which would attack the government when it disagreed. You don't need freedom of the press to protect what you have now when the press has become an adjunct to the government. The press carries the line of the government. You don't need an amendment to the Constitution...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...to protect that. The government will protect those people. Where is the crusading press that would make it necessary to have an amendment to protect them? You don't have it. When Woodward and Bernstein were pointing out the truth of Watergate, the press, by and large, were opposed to them. When it turned out that they were correct, the press then began to toot its own horn, even when they condemned those two