

that it's the federal government. They're the ones that caused the problem. They're the ones that should solve the problem but we're left with the responsibility of taking care of the counties, the cities and the schools in the state. I think this is as good a solution as we're going to find during this Special Session. It's as good a solution as we're going to find because there's not going to be a consensus of at least 33 other members for another solution at this time. There's not the support for another special session. I think we ought to give it a try. We'll come back into session next year to deal with it. If you have a different approach, try it. But as far as I'm concerned, this is the only game in town. This will be the last special session, and if we don't, I feel that it will be a tremendous revenue problem for our cities, schools in the state if we don't pass this bill. I would urge the members to reconsider that vote and let's get LB 7 passed and enacted into law.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Hall, please.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President, and members, the issue of whether or not LB 7 will address the problem has, I guess, been shoved under the table a little bit, but I neither care nor, I guess, understand why we should reconsider it at this point in time. My arguments with regard to whether LB 7 has any effect hold true with regard to whether or not it should be reconsidered, because I think, as Senator Warner stated when he mentioned the brief that was filed, and I was the proponent for that brief for the Revenue Committee as well as the rest of the body, as many as, I think he stated, 37 members who signed on, that that was the point in time where we asked the court to take a look at the issue. The court resoundingly said, no, the decision, as we laid it out, is crystal clear. And the courts again said that we understand the issue and we are telling you that the issue is one that you cannot address in the manner that we have before us in LB 7, but yet we fail to again hear what the courts have said. If the revenue issues go to the top, they're at the top now. Those 243 cases will not be impacted by LB 7 and maybe the best course is to leave the 32 votes as they are and address the issue when we come back in 1990. It is not an issue that will go away with the passage of LB 7. It will not. The courts have said, and they have said again and again and again and they said it crystal clear, they said that it makes no difference if the undervaluation of the property of the railroad and car companies comes about because of deliberate action by the board, legislative enactment or the final and