

SENATOR LANDIS: Since we are not under call, the purpose of waiting, which is normal, it seems to me in this case that we need to proceed to the vote. The chance to check in has come and gone. There is not a call of the house and I would suggest that we proceed with the reading of the bill. There is no obligation to wait because we are not under a call of the house.

SPEAKER BARRETT: We are waiting for the one member to return, because when we are on Final Reading, we are under call. Unless they are excused, they are to be here.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: A question.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, so that I understand this for future reference, even though the member is here, does the rules say that we are under call when we are on Final Reading.

SPEAKER BARRETT: I don't believe technically our rules state that specifically, but that has been custom.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But we are not under call unless the body votes to place us under call and there is a distinction, and I think the only way there can be an authorization to send out and bring in members is if the house places itself under call. And I think Senator Landis made a valid point. I understand the ramifications of this bill but I want that point clear for future reference.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Clear the board, Mr. Clerk. Roll call vote has been requested. All provisions of law...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, so that that point I was trying to make is clear, because I didn't get your opinion, what you are, by your ruling, saying is that when we are on Final Reading, then, and members are not here, then a member can object and we will have to stay our activities until every member is brought here unless we vote to go off Final Reading. Is that what your ruling is, because under call that is the case. We stay under call until everybody is here or we vote to raise the call. Then we don't have to wait anymore, we can proceed with the business.