

November 17, 1989

don't know the answer to that question, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Mr. Chairman, may I continue now? But I...

PRESIDENT: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But I wanted the Clerk to have a chance to respond because I had said something earlier representing a position of his. Members of the Legislature, we cannot have it both ways. I had said that since the confirmations were not included in the proclamation we could not consider them this session, because the Constitution says we can deal only with the business of the Legislature. The Attorney General's Opinion that was given to us indicated that acting on nominations is not that type of act by the Legislature. And I wish I had a copy of the Opinion to read it to you. If it's not an act by the Legislature, then what is being recommended by Senators Withem and Schmit is not an action by the Legislature. And, if it's not an action by the Legislature, then they stand confirmed. I'm going to say it again now and try to make it clear, because to me it doesn't seem like I'm saying, so that it's clear to you, what is clear to me in my mind from what we were told from those Attorney General's Opinions. It is not considered legislative action to vote on nominations, to confirm or not confirm. Therefore, the proclamation does not have to authorize us to do that, and we can do it without being outside the call. But, if that be true and it's not legislative action, then how can we say voting to defer and not take action is a legislative action? Either it is, or it isn't. Can a vote not to take action be considered legislative action, but a vote to confirm...let me say it the other way. A vote to confirm is not legislative action, therefore, we can do it without it being included in the call. But a vote not to take action is a legislative action for the purpose of allowing us to continue holding it. Either it's a legislative action, or it's not. We can't say that a vote to confirm is not a legislative action, but a vote not to do anything is a legislative action. How can that be? That's what I'm trying to put before the body. I voted against every confirmation offering, except one that Senator Bernard-Stevens pointed out got past me. I was talking to Senator Landis when Senator Bernard-Stevens...I mean Senator Withem offered one, so I was listed as not voting. But my intent was to vote against all of them for the very point that I'm trying to make and deal with here now. But it's up to the